

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,614	11/21/2003	Duck-Chul Hwang	51089/DBP/Y35	3144
23363 75	90 08/30/2006		EXAMINER	
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			CHU, HELEN OK	
PO BOX 7068 PASADENA, (CA 91109-7068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1745	
			DATE MAILED: 08/30/2006	DATE MAILED: 08/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/719,614	HWANG, DUCK-CHUL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Helen O. Chu	1745
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 July</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise 1. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 16-22 and 24-30 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 16-22 and 24-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	diffinor. Note the attached office	7700011 01 101111 1 1 0 102.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/719,614

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's Arguments/Remarks filed on June 26, 2006 have been received.
 Claims 1-15 are withdrawn. Claims 16, 17, 19, 20 and 26 have been amended. Claim 23 is cancelled.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S.C. code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action.

Claim Objections

- 3. The claim objections on claims 19-20 are withdrawn because the Applicant has amended the claims.
- 4. The claim objection on claim 26 are withdrawn because the Applicant has amended the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The rejection under 35 U.S.C 112, second paragraph, on claim 17 is withdrawn because Applicant has amended the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 6. The rejections under 35 U.S.C 102 (b) as anticipated by Gorkovenko et al. on claims 16-18 and 27-30 are withdrawn because Applicant has amended the claims.
- 7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,614

Art Unit: 1745

8. Claims 16-18, 24-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gorkovenko et al.

In regards to claims 16-18, 24 and 25, Gorkovenko et al. teaches a cathode with electroactive sulfur material in a battery (Title) with conductive filler (Column 5, line 65), a binder (Column 6, Line 5) and an aluminum oxide additive (Column 15, Line18). The Gorkovenko et al. reference further specifies that the weight ratio of the aluminum oxide to lithium octasulfide of 6.2 to 1 (Column 15, Lines 23-25). Table 1 indicates the specific weight percent of octasulfide in an amount of 2.3. If aluminum oxide is 6.2 times more than octasulfide then the weight percentages of aluminum oxides should be 14.62

In regard to claim 26, the Gorkovenko et al. reference teaches a sulfur base compound consisting of Li_2S_8 (Column 20, Line 62) and a carbon-sulfur polymers of with the formula of $(\text{C}_2\text{S}_z)_n$ where z ranges from 1-100 and n is equal to 2 (Column 13, Lines 44-46)

In regards to claims 27-30, the Gorkovenko et al. reference discloses a coating layer (Column 22, Line46-47) that comprises a polyethylene oxide, silica, and a conductive carbon (Column 22, 35-40)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The rejections under 35 U.S.C 103 (a) as anticipated, on claims 19-22 and 23-22, by Gorkovenko et al. as applied to claims 16-18 and 27-30 above, and further in view of Bi et al. are withdrawn because Applicant has amended the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,614 Page 4

Art Unit: 1745

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. Claims19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gorkovenko et al as applied to claims 16-18, 24-30 above, and further in view of Bi et al. (US Patent 5,952,125)

The Gorkovenko et al. reference teaches the elements of claims 16-18, 24-30 above and further incorporated herein. The Gorkovenko et al reference further discloses that cathodes that include metal oxide are beneficial in increasing the energy density (Column 15, Lines 9-13), however, the Gorkovenko et al reference does not disclose a particle size diameter of 5 to 5000nm. However, the Bi et al reference teaches that cathodes with compositions that intercalate lithium for use in a battery are metal oxides. (Column 1, Lines31-33). Batteries based on metal oxides (vanadium oxide) that are nanoparticles of 5nm 1000nm (Column 1, Lines 42-44) increases energy density compared with larger diameter metal oxide particles (Column 2, Lines 11-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to incorporate metal oxides of 5nm to 1000nm as taught by Bi et al. into the cathode in a lithium-sulfur battery to improve performance characteristics.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 1745

12. Applicant's arguments filed June 26, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant's principle arguments are:

A) The present invention, the addition of the inorganic additives lowers the surface roughness, thereby decreasing the capacity, the cycle life is increased from 60% to 91%. Gorovenko is silent as to the decrease in the surface roughness and the life cycle characteristics that are improved within the amount of the inorganic additive claimed in the present invention, including independent, amended claim 16.

Please consider the following:

A) Applicant misinterpreted in the light of the specification. Although these elements are found as examples or embodiments in the specification, they were not claimed explicitly. Nor were the words that are used in the claims defined in the specification provides no evidence to indicate these that these limitations must be imported into the claims to give meaning to disputed terms. *Constant v. Advanced Micro-Devices Inc.* 7 *USPQ2d* 1064

Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/719,614

Art Unit: 1745

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen O. Chu whose telephone number is (571) 272-5162. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,614 Page 7

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HOC

PATRICK JOSEPH RYAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER